

Report to the Successor Agency to the Dissolved AUDA

Action Item
Agenda Item No.

City Manager's Approval

To:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council, Serving as Successor

Agency to the Dissolved Auburn Urban Development Authority

From:

Robert Richardson, City Manager

Andy Heath, Administrative Services Director

Date:

February 25, 2013

Subject:

Consideration of approving and adopting an update of the Recognized

Obligation Payment Schedule pursuant to Health and Safety Code section

34177

The Issue

Shall the City Council adopt a resolution approving and adopting an update to the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177?

Action Requested

It is recommended that the City Council, by **RESOLUTION**, approve and adopt an update to the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177.

Background

On June 28, 2011, as part of the 2011-2012 State of California budget bill, companion bills Assembly Bill 1X 26 ("AB 26") and Assembly Bill 1X 27 ("AB 27") were enacted, dissolving the Auburn Urban Development Authority ("AUDA"), unless the City of Auburn ("City") elected to participate in the "Alternative Voluntary Redevelopment Program" established by AB 27 and paid an annual "community remittance" payment to the County of Placer On July 18, 2011, a Petition for Writ of Mandate was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of California in the matter of California Redevelopment Association, et al. v. Ana Matosantos, et al., Case No. S194861 ("Legal Action"), challenging the constitutionality of AB 26 and AB 27 on behalf of cities, counties and redevelopment agencies. On December 29, 2011, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in the Legal Action, upholding AB 26, invalidating AB 27, extending certain statutory

deadlines under Health and Safety Code Sections 34170 through 34191, and dissolving all redevelopment agencies throughout the State, effective February 1, 2012.

<u>Analysis</u>

The City elected to become the successor agency to AUDA by Resolution No. 12-03, dated January 9, 2012. One of the responsibilities of the City, as successor agency, is to prepare Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules ("ROPS"), which sets forth the nature, amount, and source(s) of payment of all "enforceable obligations" of AUDA (as defined by law) to be paid by the successor agency after AUDA's dissolution, covering the forward-looking six month fiscal period.

The "enforceable obligations" listed in the ROPS may include the following: bonds; loans legally required to be repaid pursuant to a payment schedule with mandatory repayment terms; payments required by the federal government, preexisting obligations to the state or obligations imposed by state law; judgments, settlements or binding arbitration decisions that bind the agency; legally binding and enforceable agreements or contracts; contracts or agreements necessary for the continued administration or operation of the agency, including agreements to purchase or rent office space, equipment and supplies; and amounts borrowed from or payments owing to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of a redevelopment agency, which had been deferred as of June 29, 2011. However, the ROPS is to exclude pass-through payments to be made by the county after dissolution of AUDA and any agreements, contracts or arrangements between the City and AUDA, except any of the following agreements between the City and AUDA: (1) any written agreements between the City and AUDA entered into prior to December 31, 2010, solely for the purpose of securing or repaying indebtedness obligations to third parties; and (2) loan agreements entered into between the City and AUDA within two years of the date of creation of AUDA.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(1)(2), as modified by the Supreme Court's opinion in the Legal Action, the City, as successor agency, is required to prepare updated ROPS covering a forward looking six-month period. As such, the ROPS for the period July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013 must be approved by the Successor Agency and Oversight Committee and received by the State and County by March 1, 2013.

The City, as successor agency, has prepared an updated ROPS covering the period from July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. The ROPS presented herein is submitted in a new, simplified format recently released by the State Department of Finance. The new format includes a "true-up" schedule for obligations reported and actually paid during the period July 2012 – December 2012.

Alternatives Available to the Council; Implications of Alternatives

- 1. By **RESOLUTION**, approve and adopt an updated Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177.
- 2. Do not adopt a resolution. As successor agency to the former Auburn Urban Development Authority, the City is required to adopt an updated Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for consideration by the Oversight Committee.

Fiscal Impact

City funds expended to prepare the updated ROPS are considered within the administrative reimbursement component of funds to be allocated from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund, to the extent available.

Attachment - Updated ROPS

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN, SERVING AS THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED AUBURN URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, APPROVING AND ADOPTING AN UPDATE OF THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 34177

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34173(d), the City of Auburn ("Successor Agency") elected to become the successor agency to the Auburn Urban Development Authority by Resolution No.12-03 on January 9, 2012; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34183, as modified by the Supreme Court decision in *California Redevelopment Association*, et al. v. Ana Matosantos, et al., Case No. S194861, requires the Successor Agency to prepare updated recognized obligation payment schedules ("ROPS") for each six month fiscal period beginning January 1 and July 1 each year; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(1)(2) requires the Successor Agency to submit the updated ROPS to an external auditor, either the Placer County Auditor-Controller or its designee, for the auditor's review and certification as to its accuracy; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(1)(2) requires the Successor Agency to submit the ROPS certified by the external auditor ("Certified ROPS") to the Successor Agency's oversight board for its approval, and upon such approval, the Successor Agency is required to submit a copy of the approved ROPS ("Approved ROPS") to the Placer County Auditor-Controller, the California State Controller, and the State of California Department of Finance, and post the Approved ROPS on the Successor Agency's website; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN, SERVING AS THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE AUBURN URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The Recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.

Section 2. <u>CEQA Compliance</u>. The approval of the updated ROPS through this Resolution does not commit the Successor Agency to any action that may have a significant effect on the environment. As a result, such action does not constitute a project subject to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.

Section 3. Approval of Updated ROPS. The Successor Agency hereby approves and adopts the updated ROPS, in substantially the form attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A, as required by Health and Safety Code Section 34177.

Section 4. <u>Transmittal of Updated ROPS</u>. The City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to take any action necessary to carry out the purposes of this Resolution and comply with applicable law regarding the updated ROPS, including submitting the updated ROPS to the Placer County Auditor-Controller, or its designee, the submission of the Certified ROPS to the Successor Agency's oversight board, upon the oversight board's formation, the submission of the Approved ROPS to the Placer County Auditor-Controller, the California State Controller, and the State of California Department of Finance, and posting the Approved ROPS on the Successor Agency's website.

Section 5. <u>Effectiveness.</u> This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City of Auburn, serving as the successor agency to the Auburn Urban Development Authority, on the 25th day of February 2013, by the following vote:

AIES:		
NOES:		
ABSTAIN:		
ABSENT:		
	Mayor	_
ATTEST:		
City Clerk		

EXHIBIT A

UPDATED RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE

SUMMARY OF RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE

Filed for the July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 Period

Name of Successor Agency: AUBURN (PLACER)

Outstanding Debt or Obligation		Total
Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation		\$8,664,334
Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation		Six-Month Total
A Available Revenues Other Than Anticipated RPTTF Funding		\$0
B Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF		\$329,948
C Administrative Allowance Funded with RPTTF		\$54,000
D Total RPTTF Funded (B + C = D)		\$383,948
E Total Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation (A + B + C =	= E) Should be same amount as ROPS form six-month total	\$383,948
F Enter Total Six-Month Anticipated RPTTF Funding		0\$
G Variance (F - D = G) Maximum RPTTF Allowable should not exceed Total Anticipated RPTTF Funding	eed Total Anticipated RPTTF Funding	(\$383,948)
Prior Period (July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012) Estimated vs. Actual Payments (as required in HSC section 34186 (a))	ctual Payments (as required in HSC section 34186 (a))	
H Enter Estimated Obligations Funded by RPTTF (lesser of Finance's	Enter Estimated Obligations Funded by RPTTF (lesser of Finance's approved RPTTF amount including admin allowance or the actual amount distributed)	\$170,671
I Enter Actual Obligations Paid with RPTTF		\$127,623
J Enter Actual Administrative Expenses Paid with RPTTF		\$48,997
K Adjustment to Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund (H - (I	(I+1)=K)	0\$
L Adjustment to RPTTF (D - K = L)		\$383,948
Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:	Keith Nesbitt	Chairman
Pursuant to Section 34177(m) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized	Name	Title
Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named agency.	/s/	2/27/2013
	Signature	Date

Oversight Board Approval D

AUBURN (PLACER) RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEOULE (ROPS 13-14A) Luly 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

	핂	3	200	000	8	203,876	ļ	-	a	0	0	0	٥	0	0	-	٥	-	-	-	-	٥	0	ŀ	٥	P	٥	٥	٥	0	۰	۰ ۰	•i°	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	°	0	a	n	٥	٥	۰ ۰	- -
	Six-Month Total			ŀ																	_																											
	Other	S	٥	0																																												
randing source	APTTF	\$329,948	126,072	d	٥	203,876					_	-	-			*									r			-					l												~	-		t
	nin Allowance	\$54,000	•	20.000	4,000															L	_	_	_								1	+		+	-	-	-	-	-	-								t
	Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance Admin Allowance	80	٥	0			-													_		-	-	-								1	ļ	l		F				-								†
	Proceeds Rese	g	ā	•	٥	_	-	_	_																-	-																		_	-			+
		\$595,019	337,143	20,000	4,000	203,876		-	_						_											-	-			-		1		-												•		+
	ě	П	ı																					_	_	L										_				_				~				
	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	\$8,664,334	8,406,45	50,00	00.4	203,876							-																	•																		
	Project Area	Г	Aub Red Proj		Aub Red Pro	Aub Red Proj														*																			-									
_			ı		ı		(dame)				_				_	-	_	_														+	-	-														+
	Description/Project Scape		ig projects	yee Administatio	ative costs	t required level d prisinal 80PS (Sec																	•															:										
	Description/		Bond Issue to fund non-housing projects	osts for RDA Emplo	or other administr	To fund debt service reserve at required level due to nevertity with greatest and priestal SDR (See Motes)																																										
			Bond Issue	Budgeted co	Repay City f	To fund deb						-		-				_																	L			_										
	Payer		Bank	tent EEs		Reserve																																					٠					
			Wells Fargo Bank	Successor Agent EEs	ά	Debt Service Reserve Maintenance												-	_	_	_																-			_							1	
	Contract/Agreement Termination Date		1,12037	30/2014	30/2014	10/1/2037										,																													-			
	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	l	10	(9)	(9)	מז						-	•	+	1				-										1				ļ	-		-		-										T
_	Contract// Executi		10/1/2008	21/02/1/2	7/1/2012	10/1/2008													_	-				1											_	_	_											
	t Obligation			tration	2	ding (One-Time)										į																																
	Project Name / Debt Obligation		x Allocation Bond.	te Costs - Adminis	dir inistrative Cost	4 Debt Service Reserve Funding (One-Time) 10/1/2008																																										
_	ltem# Pro	Ц	1 2003 Ta.	2 Employs	3 Other A	4 Debt Se								-		+						-								+	 					_			_									1
	<u> </u>	Ц		Ц			L	Ц	╝	\prod		Ţ	1	1		1	╝										1	1	╛	1	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L		Ц		\Box			1	1

AUBUNN (FLACES)
PUISIAN TO Health and Safery Code tection 24186 (a)
PRIOR ENTINO ESTIMATIO DISAGRAPORIS ALA CATUAL RAPAZITS
RECOGNISTED DISAGRAPORIS ALA CATUAL RAPAZITS
RECOGNISTED DISAGRAPORISTED CODE (ROPE II)
NAY 1, 2012 Brough Procening 73, 2012

					2	July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012	ecember 31, 2012			ŀ					1	
-		,			TIMIL		Bond Praceeds	oceeds	Reserve B	Reserve Balance	Admin Alic	Admin Allowance	RPTTE	- 1	Other	- 1
	ı			Project Area	Estimate	Actual	Extrate	Actual	Estimate	Actual	Estimale	Actual	Estimate	Actual	Estimate	Actual
F	1 \$2005 Tax Allocation Bonds	Wells Fargo Bank	Bond issue to Fund Streattrape Project	Aub Red Project	no.	3	ne e	os .	8	7.0	244,500	548,997	116,171	5127,623	53	S
2		l Ł		Aub Red Project							42,500	42,500				
	t	-		Aub Red Project							2,000	6,497				
												+				
											İ					
										-				-		
											+					
								+			1		Ì			
			i i													
									-	İ	İ	l				
1									-				-			
											İ		1			
									-				†			
					-				_				-			
1									7				-			
						Ì					1	1	+			
	774												1	-		
-																
1							Ì						-			
													+	1		
i													1			
													-			
													-			
															l	
		-														
									-							
												1				
			-							i i					-	
										1	İ	_	Ì			
											İ		İ		 -	
Ì							Ì									
														1		
														-		
								-	-							
T																1
													-			
†																Ì
									-							
									+							
1											l					
					-											
									+		1	1	1			
									-							
									-							
-									-				-			
				-						1	+	+	†	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	
										-	-	-		-		

Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Notes/Comments
Н	2008 Tax Allocation Bonds	
2	Employee Costs - Administration	
3	3 Other Administrative Costs	
	Debt Service Reserve Maintenance	When the Successor Agency prepared all ROPS preceeding ROPS 13-14A, an oversight occurred whereby the Successor Agency was initially under the impression that funds received from the County that covered ROPS II (July - December 2012) was for FY 2011-12 rather than FY 2012-13. This oversight occured as a result of the SUccessor Agency receiving the full amount of ROPS II funds prior to the close of FY 2011-12 and then assuming that these funds related to obligation and administrative payments for that year (2011-12). Development of all ROPS assumed this oversight - a timing oversight - which results in what would have been an initial funding shortfall given the Successor Agency's approved EOPS and Admin charges. This adjustment (which may take several distributions to "true-up", will bring the Successor Agency whole whereby anticipated expenditures for a six-month period will be fully funded, making the use of the debt service reserve to pay semi-annual debt service, unnecessary. Until this obligation is fully funded, the Successor Agency may be required to borrow funds from the City to pay for obligations.
		This issue has been discussed with Zachary Stacy of the State Department of Finance, who recommended this course of action.